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#### Abstract

In 1951, H. Hopf proved that the only surfaces, homeomorphic to the sphere, with constant mean curvature in Euclidean space are the round (geometrical) spheres. These results were generalized by S. S. Chern and then by Eschenburg and Tribuzy for surfaces homeomorphic to the sphere in Riemannian manifolds with constant sectional curvature whose mean curvature function satisfies some bound on its differential. In this paper, we extend these results for surfaces in a wide class of warped product manifolds, which includes, besides the classical space forms of constant sectional curvature, the de SitterSchwarzschild manifolds and the Reissner-Nordstrom manifolds, which are time slices of solutions of the Einstein field equations of general relativity.


## 1. Introduction

In 1951, H. Hopf, see [24] and [25], proved that the only surfaces with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, homeomorphic to the sphere, are the round spheres. After 32 years, the result of Hopf was extended to three-dimensional Riemannian manifolds of constant sectional curvature in 1983 by S.-S. Chern, see [16], proving that the only surfaces with constant mean curvature in these spaces, homeomorphic to the sphere, are the geodesic spheres. Later, in 1991, J. Eschenburg and R. Tribuzy (see Theorem 3, p. 151 of [19]) observed that, to obtain a Hopf-type result, it is not necessary for the immersion to have constant mean curvature, but just that the differential of the mean curvature function satisfies some upper bound, namely

Theorem 1.1 (Eschenburg-Tribuzy). Let $Q_{c}^{3}$ be a three-dimensional Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $X: \Sigma \rightarrow Q_{c}^{3}$ be an immersed surface with mean curvature function $H$. Assume that $\Sigma$ is homeomorphic to the sphere. If there exists a local $L^{p}, p>2$, function $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|d H| \leq f \sqrt{H^{2}-K+c} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is the Gaussian curvature of $\Sigma$, then $X(\Sigma)$ is totally umbilical.

[^0]In this paper, we generalize the Eschenburg-Tribuzy theorem for the more general class of threedimensional Riemannian manifolds $M^{3}=I \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$, where $I=(0, b)$ or $I=(0, \infty)$, with the metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=d t^{2}+h(t)^{2} d \omega^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, called warping function, and $d \omega^{2}$ denotes the canonical metric of the 2 -dimensional round sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. With the metric (1.2), the product $M^{3}=I \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ is called a warped product manifold and generalizes the space forms with constant sectional curvature. In fact, the metrics of the space forms of constant sectional curvature $c \in \mathbb{R}$ can be written in polar coordinates as in (1.2), where

$$
h(t)=t \text { for } \mathbb{R}^{3}, h(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \sin (\sqrt{c} t) \text { for } \mathbb{S}^{3}(c), h(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-c}} \sinh (\sqrt{-c} t) \text { for } \mathbb{H}^{3}(c)
$$

The warped product manifold $M^{3}$ has two different sectional curvatures depending only on the parameter $t$ : one tangent to the slices $\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$, denoted by $K_{\tan }(t)$, and another relative to the planes which contain the radial direction $\nabla t$, denoted by $K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)$. In terms of the warping function, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\tan }(t)=\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{h(t)^{2}} \text { and } K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)=-\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(t)}{h(t)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X, Y \in T M^{3}, X \perp \nabla t, Y \perp \nabla t$.
These manifolds were first introduced by Bishop and O' Neill in 1969, see [12], and are gaining increasing importance due to their applications as model spaces in general relativity. Part of these applications comes from the metrics that are solutions of the Einstein equations, such as the de Sitter-Schwarzschild metric and the Reissner-Nordstrom metric, which we introduce later.

In recent years, immersions in warped product manifolds have been extensively studied, with many interesting papers in this subject; for instance, see [29], [30], [11], [33], [10], [17], [3], [4], [5], [7], [9], [37], [21], [20], [34], [38], [1], [23], [2], [22], and [35]. We can also cite the book of Petersen, see [32], for a modern presentation of warped product manifolds, and the book of Besse [8] for an introduction to general relativity and the deduction of Schwarzschild space-time from the Einstein equations.

The main result of this paper is the following generalization of Theorem 1.1 for a class of warped product manifolds that contain the de Sitter-Schwarzschild and the Reissner-Nordstrom manifolds:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface, homeomorphic to the sphere, immersed in a warped product manifold $M^{3}=I \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$, with mean curvature function $H$. If there exists a non-negative $L^{p}, p>2$, function $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d H+\left(K_{\tan }(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right) \nu d t\right| \leq f \sqrt{H^{2}-K+K_{\tan }(t)-\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)\left(K_{\tan }(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\Sigma$ is totally umbilical.
Moreover, if $K_{\tan }(t) \neq K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)$, except possibly for a discrete set of values $t \in I$, and $\Sigma$ has constant mean curvature, then $\Sigma$ is a slice.

Remark 1.1. Actually, some additional hypothesis, such as (1.4), is needed in order to classify the slices as the only constant mean curvature spheres. In fact, it was observed by Brendle (see [13], Theorem 1.5,
p. 250) that a result of Pacard and Xu (see [31], Theorem 1.1, p. 276) implies that in some warped product manifolds there are small spheres with constant mean curvature that are not umbilical.

Remark 1.2. To obtain the slice in the second part of Theorem 1.2, the assumption over $M^{3}$ that $K_{\tan }(t) \neq$ $K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)$, except possibly for a discrete set of values $t \in I$, is necessary. In fact, if $K_{\tan }(t)=K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)$ for some interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \subset I$, then all the sectional curvatures of $M^{3}$ will depend only on $t$. This will imply, by the classical Schur's Theorem, that $\widetilde{M^{3}}:=\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ has constant sectional curvature. In this case, there exist spheres, other than the slices, with constant mean curvature (in fact, the geodesic spheres centered at some point of $\widetilde{M^{3}}$ ).

Remark 1.3. Again, to obtain the slice in the second part of Theorem 1.2, the assumption that $H$ is constant is necessary. In fact, defining $\varphi:\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right) \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$by the equations

$$
\frac{d r}{\varphi(r)}=d t \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{r}{\varphi(r)}=h(t)
$$

where $r^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}$, we can interpret the warped product $M^{3}=I \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ as the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (actually, a ring $0 \leq r_{0}^{2} \leq x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2} \leq r_{1}^{2} \leq \infty$ ) with the conformal metric

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varphi}=\frac{1}{\varphi(r)^{2}}\left(d r^{2}+r^{2} d \omega^{2}\right)
$$

Since the spheres $\mathbb{S}^{2}\left(C_{0}, R\right)$ of any center $C_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and any radius $R>0$ are umbilical surfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with umbilicity factor $1 / R, \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(C_{0}, R\right)$ remains umbilical for the conformal metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\varphi}$, but with umbilicity factor (see [14], p.183)

$$
\lambda=\frac{\varphi(r)}{R}-\varphi^{\prime}(r) N(r)
$$

where $N(r)$ denotes the derivative of $r$ in the direction of the unitary (in the Euclidean metric) inner normal vector field $N$ of $\mathbb{S}^{2}\left(C_{0}, R\right)$. Notice that, if the sphere is not centered at the origin (i.e., $r=$ constant, which is equivalent to being a slice in the warped product manifold), the umbilicity factor $\lambda$ is not constant and the sphere does not have constant mean curvature. Therefore, if we drop the condition that $H$ is constant, we obtain other umbilical spheres that are not slices.

Two of the most famous examples of warped product manifolds are the de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifolds and the Reissner-Nordstrom manifolds, which we describe below.

Definition 1.1 (The de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifolds). Let $m>0, c \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
\left(s_{0}, s_{1}\right)=\left\{r>0 ; 1-m r^{-1}-c r^{2}>0\right\} .
$$

If $c \leq 0$, then $s_{1}=\infty$. If $c>0$, assume that $c m^{2}<\frac{4}{27}$. The de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold is defined by $M^{3}(c)=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ endowed with the metric

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=\frac{1}{1-m r^{-1}-c r^{2}} d r^{2}+r^{2} d \omega^{2}
$$

In order to write the metric in the form (1.2), define $F:\left[s_{0}, s_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
F^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-m r^{-1}-c r^{2}}}, F\left(s_{0}\right)=0
$$

Taking $t=F(r)$, we can write $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=d t^{2}+h(t)^{2} d \omega^{2}$, where $h:\left[0, F\left(s_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left[s_{0}, s_{1}\right)$ denotes the inverse function of $F$. The function $h$ clearly satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{1-m h(t)^{-1}-c h(t)^{2}}, h(0)=s_{0}, \text { and } h^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifolds, we have

$$
K_{\tan }(t)=c+\frac{m}{h(t)^{3}} \quad \text { and } \quad K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)=c-\frac{m}{2 h(t)^{3}}
$$

Replacing these facts in Theorem 1.2 and writing $f$ in the place of $\frac{2}{3 m} h^{3} f$ in order to clean the presentation (since the function $f$ in Theorem 1.2 is an arbitrary $L^{p}$ function, $p>2$ ) we obtain

Corollary 1.1 (The de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifolds). Let $\Sigma$ be a surface, homeomorphic to the sphere, immersed in the de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold with constant mean curvature. If there exists a nonnegative $L^{p}, p>2$, function $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
|\nu d t| \leq f \sqrt{H^{2}-K+c+\frac{m\left(3 \nu^{2}-1\right)}{2 h(t)^{3}}}
$$

then $\Sigma$ is a slice.
Here, $K$ is the Gaussian curvature of $\Sigma, \nu=\langle\nabla t, N\rangle$ is the angle function, and $N$ is the unitary normal vector field of $\Sigma$ in the de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold.

Definition 1.2 (The Reissner-Nordstrom manifolds). The Reissner-Nordstrom manifold is defined by $M^{3}=\left(s_{0}, \infty\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$, with the metric

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=\frac{1}{1-m r^{-1}+q^{2} r^{-2}} d r^{2}+r^{2} d \omega^{2}
$$

where $m>2 q>0$ and $s_{0}=\frac{2 q^{2}}{m-\sqrt{m^{2}-4 q^{2}}}$ is the larger of the two solutions of $1-m r^{-1}+q^{2} r^{-2}=0$. In order to write the metric in the form (1.2), define $F:\left[s_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
F^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-m r^{-1}+q^{2} r^{-2}}}, F\left(s_{0}\right)=0
$$

Taking $t=F(r)$, we can write $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=d t^{2}+h(t)^{2} d \omega^{2}$, where $h:[0, \infty) \rightarrow\left[s_{0}, \infty\right)$ denotes the inverse function of $F$. The function $h$ clearly satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{1-m h(t)^{-1}+q^{2} h(t)^{-2}}, h(0)=s_{0}, \text { and } h^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Reissner-Nordstrom manifolds, we have

$$
K_{\tan }(t)=\frac{m}{h(t)^{3}}-\frac{q^{2}}{h(t)^{4}} \quad \text { and } \quad K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)=-\frac{m}{2 h(t)^{3}}+\frac{q^{2}}{h(t)^{4}}
$$

Moreover,

$$
K_{\tan }(t)-K_{\operatorname{rad}(t)}=\frac{3 m}{2 h(t)^{3}}-\frac{2 q^{2}}{h(t)^{4}}=\frac{3 m h(t)-4 q^{2}}{2 h(t)^{4}}>0
$$

for every $t \in\left(s_{0}, \infty\right)$, since $4 q^{2} / 3 m<s_{0}$.
Replacing these facts in Theorem 1.2 and writing $f$ in the place of $\frac{2 h^{4} f}{3 m h-4 q^{2}}$ in order to clean the presentation (since the function $f$ in Theorem 1.2 is an arbitrary $L^{p}$ function, $p>2$ ) we obtain

Corollary 1.2 (The Reissner-Nordstrom manifolds). Let $\Sigma$ be a surface, homeomorphic to the sphere, immersed in the Reissner-Nordstrom manifold with constant mean curvature. If there exists a nonnegative $L^{p}, p>2$, function $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
|\nu d t| \leq f \sqrt{H^{2}-K+\frac{m\left(3 \nu^{2}-1\right)}{2 h(t)^{3}}+\frac{q^{2}\left(1-2 \nu^{2}\right)}{h(t)^{4}}}
$$

then $\Sigma$ is a slice.
Here, $K$ is the Gaussian curvature of $\Sigma, \nu=\langle\nabla t, N\rangle$ is the angle function, and $N$ is the unitary normal vector field of $\Sigma$ in the Reissner-Nordstrom manifold.

Remark 1.4. Since the warped product manifold is smooth at $t=0$ if and only if $h(0)=0, h^{\prime}(0)=1$, and all the even order derivatives are zero at $t=0$, i.e., $h^{(2 k)}(0)=0, k>0$, see [32], Proposition 1, p. 13, we can see the de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifolds and the Reissner-Nordstrom manifolds are singular at $t=0$.
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## 2. Preliminaries

Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth and positive function. We will denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{F}^{3}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{F}\right), \text { where }\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{F}=\frac{1}{F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)^{2}}\left(d x_{1}^{2}+d x_{2}^{2}+d x_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the conformally flat three dimensional manifold. Denote by $f=\log F$ and by $f_{i}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}, i=1,2,3$. If $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}, i, j, k=1,2,3$, are the Christoffel symbols of $M^{3}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{11}^{1}=-f_{1}, \Gamma_{11}^{2}=f_{2}, \Gamma_{11}^{3}=f_{3}, \Gamma_{12}^{1}=\Gamma_{21}^{1}=-f_{2}, \Gamma_{12}^{2}=\Gamma_{21}^{2}=-f_{1}, \Gamma_{12}^{3}=\Gamma_{21}^{3}=0 \\
& \Gamma_{13}^{1}=\Gamma_{31}^{1}=-f_{3}, \Gamma_{13}^{2}=\Gamma_{31}^{2}=0, \Gamma_{13}^{3}=\Gamma_{31}^{3}=-f_{1}, \Gamma_{22}^{1}=f_{1}, \Gamma_{22}^{2}=-f_{2}, \Gamma_{22}^{3}=f_{3}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \Gamma_{23}^{1}=\Gamma_{32}^{1}=0, \Gamma_{23}^{2}=\Gamma_{32}^{2}=-f_{3}, \Gamma_{23}^{3}=\Gamma_{32}^{3}=-f_{2}, \Gamma_{33}^{1}=f_{1}, \Gamma_{33}^{2}=f_{2}, \Gamma_{33}^{3}=-f_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the canonical metric. The canonical orthonormal frame of $M^{3}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) e_{1}, \\
& E_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) e_{2}, \\
& E_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) e_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let us denote by $\nabla$ the connection $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{F}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{1}=F_{2} E_{2}+F_{3} E_{3}, \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{2}=-F_{2} E_{1}, \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{3}=-F_{3} E_{1}, \\
& \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{1}=-F_{1} E_{2}, \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{2}=F_{1} E_{1}+F_{3} E_{3}, \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{3}=-F_{3} E_{2}, \\
& \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{1}=-F_{1} E_{3}, \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{2}=-F_{2} E_{3}, \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{3}=F_{1} E_{1}+F_{2} E_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_{i}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}, i=1,2,3$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{E_{i}} E_{j} & =F^{2} \nabla_{e_{i}} e_{j}+F F_{i} e_{j} \\
& =F^{2}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{1} e_{1}+\Gamma_{i j}^{2} e_{2}+\Gamma_{i j}^{3} e_{3}\right)+F^{2} f_{i} e_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

The result then follows by replacing the values of $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ given by (2.2) and noticing that $f_{i}=F_{i} / F$, $i=1,2,3$.

Let $\Sigma$ be a smooth two-dimensional Riemannian surface whose metric, in a local coordinate system $\Phi: D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \Sigma$, is given by

$$
d s^{2}=E(u, v) d u^{2}+2 F(u, v) d u d v+G(u, v) d v^{2},(u, v) \in D .
$$

A local coordinate system is called a system of isothermal parameters if $E=G$ and $F=0$. By the results of Korn [26] and Lichtenstein [28] (for instance, see the work of Chern [15] for an elementary proof), if the functions $E, F, G: D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Hölder continuous of order $0<\lambda<1$, then every point of $D$ has a neighborhood whose local coordinates are isothermal parameters (remember that a function $f: D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous of order $\lambda>0$ if $\left|f\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)-f\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right| \leq C r^{\lambda}$, where $r=\sqrt{\left.\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{2}\right)}$.

Identifying $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ by taking $z=u+i v$ and $\bar{z}=u-i v$, we have $d z=d u+i d v$ and $d \bar{z}=d u-i d v$, which gives the rules of differentiation

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)
$$

By using this complexification, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\alpha|d z+\mu d \bar{z}|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{1}{4}\left(E+G+2 \sqrt{E G-F^{2}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu=\frac{1}{4 \alpha}(E-G+2 i F) .
$$

Here, $\alpha>0$ and $|\mu|<1$. If $(x, y)$ are isothermal coordinates for $\Sigma$, then we can write

$$
d s^{2}=E(u, v)\left(d u^{2}+d v^{2}\right)=\alpha(z)|d z|^{2} .
$$

Remark 2.1. The existence of isothermal coordinates can also be proved by applying known existence theorems to the Beltrami equation. In fact, since the change of coordinates satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\alpha|d z|^{2}=\alpha\left|z_{w}\right|^{2}\left|d w^{2}+\frac{z_{\bar{w}}}{z_{w}} d \bar{w}\right|^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

comparing (2.3) and (2.4), there exist isothermal parameters in a neighborhood of $\Sigma$ is and only if there exists a solution of the Beltrami differential equation

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial \bar{w}}=\mu \frac{\partial z}{\partial w}
$$

By using $L^{p}$ estimates for singular integral operators of Calderón and Zygmund, it can be proved that the solution exists in any neighborhood where $\|\mu\|_{\infty}<1$ (see, for instance [36], p.20-21 and p.97).

In this section, we will consider a smooth Riemannian surface $\Sigma$ and an isometric immersion $X: \Sigma \rightarrow$ $M_{F}^{3}$. Taking isothermal parameters $u$ and $v$ in a neighborhood of $\Sigma$ and complexifying the parameters by taking $z=u+i v$, we can identify this neighborhood of $\Sigma$ with a subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and obtain

$$
\left\langle X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F}=\frac{\alpha(z)}{2}
$$

where $X_{z}=\frac{\partial X}{\partial z}, X_{\bar{z}}=\frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{z}}$, and $\alpha(z)$ is the conformal factor of $\Sigma$, i.e., $d s^{2}=\alpha(z)|d z|^{2}$ is the metric of $\Sigma$. In this case, the second fundamental form becomes

$$
I I=P d z^{2}+H \alpha|d z|^{2}+\bar{P} d \bar{z}^{2}
$$

where

$$
P d z^{2}=\left\langle\nabla_{X_{z}} X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} d z^{2}
$$

is the Hopf differential of $X$, i.e., the ( 2,0 )-part of the complexified second fundamental form. For more details about the complexification and the Hopf differential, we refer to chapter VI of the classical book of Hopf, see [25].

Remark 2.2. Here and after, the bar over a quantity will mean the complex conjugate of the quantity.
Since $X_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{u}-i X_{v}\right)$ and $X_{\bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{u}+i X_{v}\right)$, where $X_{u}=\frac{\partial X}{\partial u}$ and $X_{v}=\frac{\partial X}{\partial v}$, we have $X_{u}=X_{z}+X_{\bar{z}}$ and $X_{v}=i\left(X_{z}-X_{\bar{z}}\right)$. This implies

$$
X_{u} \times X_{v}=i\left(X_{z}+X_{\bar{z}}\right) \times\left(X_{z}-X_{\bar{z}}\right)=2 i X_{\bar{z}} \times X_{z}
$$

where $\times$ means the usual vector product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. On the other hand,

$$
\left\|X_{u} \times X_{v}\right\|_{F}=\sqrt{\left\|X_{u}\right\|_{F}^{2}\left\|X_{v}\right\|_{F}^{2}-\left\langle X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle_{F}^{2}}=2\left\langle X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F}=\alpha
$$

where $\|Y\|_{F}^{2}=\langle Y, Y\rangle_{F}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Therefore, the unitary normal vector field of the immersion, with the canonical orientation, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{F}=\frac{X_{u} \times X_{v}}{\left\|X_{u} \times X_{v}\right\|_{F}}=\frac{2 i}{\alpha} X_{\bar{z}} \times X_{z} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have the following fundamental equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \nabla _ { X _ { z } } X _ { z } = \frac { \alpha _ { z } } { \alpha } X _ { z } + P N _ { F } }  \tag{2.6}\\
{ \nabla _ { X _ { \overline { z } } } X _ { z } = \frac { \alpha H } { 2 } N _ { F } } \\
{ \nabla _ { X _ { \overline { z } } } X _ { \overline { z } } = \frac { \alpha _ { \overline { z } } } { \alpha } X _ { \overline { z } } + \overline { P } N _ { F } }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{X_{z}} N=-H X_{z}-\frac{2 P}{\alpha} X_{\bar{z}} \\
\nabla_{X_{\bar{z}}} N=-\frac{2 \bar{P}}{\alpha} X_{z}-H X_{\bar{z}}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =\left\langle\nabla_{X_{z}} X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}=\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\nabla_{X_{u}-i X_{v}} X_{u}-i X_{v}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left[\left\langle\nabla_{X_{u}} X_{u}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}-\left\langle\nabla_{X_{v}} X_{v}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}-i\left(\left\langle\nabla_{X_{u}} X_{v}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\left\langle\nabla_{X_{v}} X_{u}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}\right)\right]  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left[I I\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right)-I I\left(X_{v}, X_{v}\right)-2 i I I\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $I I$ is the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$ in $M_{F}^{3}$, we have $P=0$ if and only if $I I$ is umbilical. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|P|^{2} & =\frac{1}{16}\left[\left(I I\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right)-I I\left(X_{v}, X_{v}\right)\right)^{2}+4 I I\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{16}\left[\left(I I\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right)+I I\left(X_{v}, X_{v}\right)\right)^{2}-4\left(I I\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right) I I\left(X_{v}, X_{v}\right)-I I\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right)^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{16}\left[(\operatorname{trace} I I)^{2}-4(\operatorname{det} I I)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $H=\frac{1}{2}$ trace $I I$ is the mean curvature and, by the Gauss equation $\operatorname{det} I I=K-\bar{K}(T \Sigma)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P|^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(H^{2}-K+\bar{K}(T \Sigma)\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $K$ is the Gaussian curvature of $\Sigma$ and $\bar{K}(T \Sigma)$ is the sectional curvature of $M_{F}^{3}$ relative to the two dimensional subspace $T \Sigma$.

In order to prove our main theorem, we will need some computational lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. If $P d z^{2}=\left\langle\nabla_{X_{z}} X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} d z^{2}$ is the Hopf differential of an isometric immersion $X: \Sigma \rightarrow$ $M_{F}^{3}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\bar{z}}=\frac{\alpha}{2} H_{z}+\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{R}$ is the curvature tensor of $M_{F}^{3}$ and $H$ is the mean curvature of $X$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\bar{z}}= & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\left\langle\nabla_{X_{z}} X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} \\
= & \left\langle\nabla_{X_{\bar{z}}} \nabla_{X_{z}} X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\left\langle\nabla_{X_{z}} X_{z}, \nabla_{X_{\bar{z}}} N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} \\
= & \left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\left\langle\nabla_{X_{z}} \nabla_{X_{\bar{z}}} X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\left\langle\nabla_{X_{z}} X_{z}, \nabla_{X_{\bar{z}}} N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} \\
= & \left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\left\langle\nabla_{X_{\bar{z}}} X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}\right)-\left\langle\nabla_{X_{\bar{z}}} X_{z}, \nabla_{X_{z}} N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\left\langle\nabla_{X_{z}} X_{z}, \nabla_{X_{\bar{z}}} N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} \\
= & \left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\alpha H}{2}\right)-\left\langle\frac{\alpha H}{2} N_{F},-H X_{z}-\frac{2 P}{\alpha} X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F} \\
& +\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{z}}{\alpha} X_{z}+P N_{F},-\frac{2 \bar{P}}{\alpha} X_{z}-H X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F} \\
& =\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\frac{\alpha H_{z}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to calculate the expression for $\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}$, we will use the following result, whose proof can be found in [18], p. 98. Here we use the expression as it is written in the classical work of Kulkarni [27], Proposition 2.2, p. 318.

Lemma 2.3. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $\bar{g}=e^{2 \phi} g$ be a conformal metric. If $R$ and $\bar{R}$ denote the curvature tensors of $M$ with metrics $g$ and $\bar{g}$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z & =R(X, Y) Z+\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi(Y, Z)-(Y \phi)(Z \phi)+g(Y, Z)\|\nabla \phi\|^{2}\right] X \\
& -\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi(X, Z)-(X \phi)(Z \phi)+g(X, Z)\|\nabla \phi\|^{2}\right] Y \\
& +g(Y, Z)\left[\nabla_{X} \nabla \phi-(X \phi) \nabla \phi\right]-g(X, Z)\left[\nabla_{Y} \nabla \phi-(Y \phi) \nabla \phi\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, Hess $\phi$, and $\nabla$ are, respectively, the Hessian and the connection (and the gradient) relative to the metric $g$.

Lemma 2.4. If $X:\left(\Sigma, \alpha(z)|d z|^{2}\right) \rightarrow M_{F}^{3}$ be an isometric immersion with normal vector $N_{F}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}=-\frac{\alpha}{2 F} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, N_{F}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Hess $F$ is the Euclidean hessian of $F$.

Proof. First, notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} & =\frac{1}{8}\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}-i X_{v}, X_{u}+i X_{v}\right)\left(X_{u}-i X_{v}\right), N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} \\
& =\frac{i}{4}\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right) X_{u}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right) X_{v}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Denoting by $U \cdot V$ the Euclidean inner product of the vectors $U \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle U, V\rangle_{F}=\frac{1}{F^{2}}(U \cdot V) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 2.3 for $\phi=-\log F$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right) X_{u}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}= & \left(X_{v} \cdot X_{u}\right)\left[\left\langle\nabla_{X_{u}} \nabla \phi, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}-\left(X_{u} \phi\right)\left\langle\nabla \phi, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}\right] \\
& -\left(X_{v} \cdot X_{u}\right)\left[\left\langle\nabla_{X_{v}} \nabla \phi, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}-\left(X_{v} \phi\right)\left\langle\nabla \phi, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}\right] \\
= & -\left\langle X_{u}, X_{u}\right\rangle_{F}\left[\left(\left(\nabla_{X_{v}} \nabla \phi\right) \cdot N_{F}\right)-\left(X_{v} \phi\right)\left(\nabla \phi \cdot N_{F}\right)\right]  \tag{2.13}\\
= & -\left\langle X_{u}, X_{u}\right\rangle_{F}\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi\left(X_{v}, N_{F}\right)-\left(\nabla \phi \cdot X_{v}\right)\left(\nabla \phi \cdot N_{F}\right)\right] \\
= & -\alpha\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi\left(X_{v}, N_{F}\right)-\left(\nabla \phi \cdot X_{v}\right)\left(\nabla \phi \cdot N_{F}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right) X_{u}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}=\alpha\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi\left(X_{u}, N_{F}\right)-\left(\nabla \phi \cdot X_{u}\right)\left(\nabla \phi \cdot N_{F}\right)\right] . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing (2.13) and (2.14) in (2.11), gives

$$
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi\left(X_{z}, N_{F}\right)-\left(\nabla \phi \cdot X_{z}\right)\left(\nabla \phi \cdot N_{F}\right)\right] .
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\nabla \phi=-\frac{\nabla F}{F} \text { and Hess } \phi(U, V)=-\frac{1}{F} \operatorname{Hess} F(U, V)+\frac{1}{F^{2}}(\nabla F \cdot U)(\nabla F \cdot V)
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, N_{F}\right\rangle_{F}=-\frac{\alpha}{2 F} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, N_{F}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.5. If $X:\left(\Sigma, \alpha(z)|d z|^{2}\right) \rightarrow M_{F}^{3}$ be an isometric immersion, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{\alpha(F(X))^{2}}\|X\|_{z}\|X\|_{\bar{z}}+\left(\frac{X}{\|X\|} \cdot N\right)^{2}=1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|X\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of $X, N=F N_{F}$, and $U \cdot V$ denotes the Euclidean inner product of the vectors $U$ and $V$.

Proof. Identifying $T_{p} \Sigma$ with the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, for each point $p \in \Sigma$, and since $N=F N_{F}$ is normal to $T \Sigma$, we can consider the adapted frame $\left\{X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}, N\right\}$ in $T_{p} \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{3} \equiv M_{F}^{3}$, where the $\equiv$ sign means linear isomorfism. Thus, we can write

$$
X=a X_{z}+b X_{\bar{z}}+c N,
$$

for smooth functions $a, b, c: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since $X_{z} \cdot X_{z}=X_{\bar{z}} \cdot X_{\bar{z}}=0$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
X \cdot X_{z} & =a\left(X_{z} \cdot X_{z}\right)+b\left(X_{\bar{z}} \cdot X_{z}\right)+c\left(N \cdot X_{z}\right) \\
& =b(F(X))^{2}\left\langle X_{\bar{z}}, X_{z}\right\rangle_{F}=b(F(X))^{2} \frac{\alpha}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and analogously for $X \cdot X_{\bar{z}}$ and $X \cdot N$, we have

$$
X=\frac{2}{\alpha(F(X))^{2}}\left(X \cdot X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}+\frac{2}{\alpha(F(X))^{2}}\left(X \cdot X_{z}\right) X_{\bar{z}}+(X \cdot N) N .
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|X\|^{2}=X \cdot X & =\frac{8}{\alpha^{2}(F(X))^{4}}\left(X \cdot X_{z}\right)\left(X \cdot X_{\bar{z}}\right)\left(X_{\bar{z}} \cdot X_{z}\right)+(X \cdot N)^{2} \\
& =\frac{8}{\alpha^{2}(F(X))^{4}}\left(X \cdot X_{z}\right)\left(X \cdot X_{\bar{z}}\right) \frac{\alpha(F(X))^{2}}{2}+(X \cdot N)^{2} \\
& =\frac{4}{\alpha^{2}(F(X))^{2}}\left(X \cdot X_{z}\right)\left(X \cdot X_{\bar{z}}\right)+(X \cdot N)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using $X \cdot X_{z}=\|X\|\|X\|_{z}$ and $X \cdot X_{\bar{z}}=\|X\|\|X\|_{\bar{z}}$, we obtain the result by dividing the expression above by $\|X\|^{2}$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $X:\left(\Sigma, \alpha(z)|d z|^{2}\right) \rightarrow M_{F}^{3}$ be an isometric immersion. If $\bar{K}(T \Sigma)$ denotes the sectional curvature of $M_{F}^{3}$ relative to the plane $d X(T \Sigma)$, then

$$
\bar{K}(T \Sigma)=-\|\nabla F\|^{2}+\frac{4}{\alpha(z) F(X(z))} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right)
$$

where $\|\nabla F\|^{2}=\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{1}}(X(z))\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{2}}(X(z))\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{3}}(X(z))\right)^{2}$ and Hess $F$ denotes the Euclidian hessian of $F$.

Proof. Considering the frame $\left\{X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\}$ in $T \Sigma$, we have

$$
\bar{K}(T \Sigma)=\frac{\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F}}{\left\langle X_{z}, X_{z}\right\rangle_{F}\left\langle X_{\bar{z}}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F}-\left\langle X_{\bar{z}}, X_{z}\right\rangle_{F}^{2}}=-\frac{\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F}}{\left\langle X_{\bar{z}}, X_{z}\right\rangle_{F}^{2}},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}(T \Sigma)=-\frac{4}{\alpha(z)^{2}}\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F} & =\frac{1}{16}\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}-i X_{v}, X_{u}+i X_{v}\right)\left(X_{u}-i X_{v}\right), X_{u}+i X_{v}\right\rangle_{F} \\
& =-\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right) X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle_{F} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

using Lemma 2.3 for $\phi=-\log F$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right) X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle_{F}= & -\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right)-\left(X_{u} \cdot \nabla \phi\right)^{2}+\left(X_{u} \cdot X_{u}\right)\|\nabla \phi\|^{2}\right]\left\langle X_{v}, X_{v}\right\rangle_{F} \\
& -\left(X_{u} \cdot X_{u}\right)\left[\left\langle\nabla_{X_{v}} \nabla \phi, X_{v}\right\rangle_{F}-\left(X_{v} \cdot \nabla \phi\right)\left\langle\nabla \phi, X_{v}\right\rangle_{F}\right] \\
= & -\alpha\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right)-\left(X_{u} \cdot \nabla \phi\right)^{2}+\left(X_{u} \cdot X_{u}\right)\|\nabla \phi\|^{2}\right] \\
& -\alpha\left[\operatorname{Hess} \phi\left(X_{v}, X_{v}\right)-\left(X_{v} \cdot \nabla \phi\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U \cdot V$ denotes the Euclidean inner product of the vectors $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Since

$$
\nabla \phi=\frac{1}{F} \nabla F, \text { Hess } \phi(U, V)=-\frac{1}{F} \operatorname{Hess} F(U, V)+\frac{1}{F^{2}}(\nabla F \cdot U)(\nabla F \cdot V)
$$

and $X_{u} \cdot X_{u}=F^{2}\left\langle X_{u}, X_{u}\right\rangle_{F}=F^{2} \alpha$, we have

$$
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right) X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle_{F}=-\alpha\left[-\frac{1}{F}\left(\operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right)+\operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{v}, X_{v}\right)\right)+\alpha\|\nabla F\|^{2}\right]
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{u}-i X_{v}, X_{u}+i X_{v}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left[\operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{u}, X_{u}\right)+\operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{v}, X_{v}\right)\right]
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\bar{R}\left(X_{u}, X_{v}\right) X_{u}, X_{v}\right\rangle_{F}=-\alpha^{2}\|\nabla F\|^{2}+\frac{4 \alpha}{F} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result then comes by replacing (2.18) in (2.17) and then in (2.16).

## 3. Proof of the main result

Warped product manifolds can be seen as conformally flat Riemannian manifolds with radial weight, as follows. By taking the spherical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we obtain

$$
d x_{1}^{2}+d x_{2}^{2}+d x_{3}^{2}=d r^{2}+r^{2} d \omega^{2}
$$

where $r=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}}$ and $d \omega^{2}$ is the canonical metric of the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. Let

$$
A\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right)=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; r_{0}^{2} \leq x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2} \leq r_{1}^{2}\right\} .
$$

If $F: A\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a radial function, then there exists a positive real function $\varphi:\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right) \subset$ $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\varphi(r)$. In this case, we have

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{F}=\frac{1}{\varphi(r)^{2}}\left(d r^{2}+r^{2} d \omega^{2}\right)
$$

Define $G:\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $G^{\prime}(r)=1 / \varphi(r)$. Since $G^{\prime}(r)>0$, we have that the function $G$ is invertible. Let $G^{-1}: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right)$ be the inverse function of $G$, where $I=G\left(\left(r_{0}, r_{1}\right)\right)$, and let $t=G(r)$. Defining

$$
h(t)=\frac{G^{-1}(t)}{\varphi\left(G^{-1}(t)\right)}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r}{\varphi(r)}=d t \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{r}{\varphi(r)}=h(t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{F}$ thus becomes the warped metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle=d t^{2}+h(t)^{2} d \omega^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, called the warping function, and $M_{F}^{3}$ can be seen as the product $M^{3}=I \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ with the metric (3.2), where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval.

To prove our main theorem, we will need the following Lemma, which is an adaptation of a result due to Eschenburg and Tribuzy, see [19] (see also the main Lemma of [6]):

Lemma 3.1 (Eschenburg-Tribuzy, [19]). Let $Q: U \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex function defined in an open set $U$ of the complex plane. Assume that

$$
\left|Q_{\bar{z}}\right| \leq f(z)|Q(z)|
$$

where $f \in L^{p}, p>2$, is a continuous, non-negative real function. Assume further that $z=z_{0} \in U$ is a zero of $Q$. Then either $Q \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of $z_{0}$ or

$$
Q(z)=\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k} Q_{k}(z), z \in V, k \geq 1
$$

where $Q_{k}(z)$ is a continuous function with $Q_{k}\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$.
This lemma has the following consequence for surfaces homeomorphic to the sphere. The argument is contained in the proof of the main theorem of [6], and we include a proof here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Sigma$ be a Riemann surface homeomorphic to the sphere. Let $Q d z^{2}$ denote a complex quadratic differential on $\Sigma$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{\bar{z}}\right| \leq f_{0}|Q|, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{0}: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative and $L^{p}$ function, $p>2$, and $z$ is a local conformal parameter. Then $Q \equiv 0$ in $\Sigma$.

Proof. Let $U \subset \Sigma$ be an open set covered by isothermal coordinates. Assume that the set of zeros of $Q$ in $U$ is not empty and let $z_{0} \in U$ be a zero of $Q$. By the Lemma 3.1, either $Q$ is identically zero in a neighborhood $V$ of $z_{0}$ or this zero is isolated and the index of a direction field determined by $\operatorname{Im}\left[Q d z^{2}\right]=0$ is $-k / 2$ (hence negative). If, for some coordinate neighborhood $V$ of zero, $Q \equiv 0$, this will be so for the whole $\Sigma$, otherwise, the zeroes on the boundary of $V$ will contradict Lemma 3.1. So if $Q$ is not identically zero, all zeroes are isolated and have negative indices. Since $\Sigma$ has genus zero, the sum of the indices of the singularities of any field of directions is 2 (hence positive) by the Poincaré Index Theorem. This contradiction shows that $Q$ is identically zero. Notice also that $Q$ must have a zero by the Poincaré Index theorem, since the sum of the index is 2 (hence nonzero).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Differentiating the second equation of (3.1) relative to $r$, and using the first one, we have

$$
r=\varphi(r) h(t) \Rightarrow 1=\varphi^{\prime}(r) h(t)+\varphi(r) h^{\prime}(t) \frac{d t}{d r} \Rightarrow 1=\varphi^{\prime}(r) h(t)+h^{\prime}(t)
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)}{h(t)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.4) relative to $r$ and using the first equation of (3.1), we obtain

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)=\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)}{h(t)}\right) \frac{d t}{d r}=\left(\frac{-h^{\prime \prime}(t) h(t)-\left(1-h^{\prime}(t)\right) h^{\prime}(t)}{h(t)^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{\varphi(r)}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r) \varphi(r)=-\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(t)}{h(t)}-\frac{\left(1-h^{\prime}(t)\right) h^{\prime}(t)}{h(t)^{2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\varphi(r)$, where $r=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{i}}=x_{i} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r} \delta_{i j}+\frac{x_{i} x_{j}}{r^{2}}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right) .
$$

This implies, for $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$,

$$
\operatorname{Hess} F(V, W)=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}(V \cdot W)+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right)(X \cdot V)(X \cdot W)
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{d r}{d t}=\varphi(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N_{F}=\varphi(r) N$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu=\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, N_{F}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\varphi(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \varphi(r) N\right\rangle_{F}=\varphi(r)^{2}\left[\frac{1}{\varphi(r)^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \cdot N\right)\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{X}{\|X\|} \cdot N\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $\partial / \partial r=X /\|X\|$. Since

$$
X \cdot X_{z}=\|X\|(\|X\|)_{z}=r r_{z}, X \cdot X_{\bar{z}}=\|X\|(\|X\|)_{\bar{z}}=r r_{\bar{z}}
$$

we can rewrite the equation (2.15) of Lemma 2.5 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{\alpha \varphi(r)^{2}} r_{z} r_{\bar{z}}+\nu^{2}=1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{4}{\alpha F} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) & =\frac{4}{\alpha \varphi(r)} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}\left(X_{z} \cdot X_{\bar{z}}\right)+\frac{4}{\alpha \varphi(r) r^{2}}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right)\left(X \cdot X_{z}\right)\left(X \cdot X_{\bar{z}}\right) \\
& =\frac{4 \varphi^{\prime}(r) \varphi(r)}{r} \frac{\left\langle X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right\rangle_{F}}{\alpha}+\frac{4}{\alpha \varphi(r) r^{2}}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right)\left(r r_{z}\right)\left(r r_{\bar{z}}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \varphi^{\prime}(r) \varphi(r)}{r}+\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r) \varphi(r)-\frac{\varphi(r) \varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right) \frac{4}{\alpha \varphi(r)^{2}} r_{z} r_{\bar{z}} \\
& =\frac{2 \varphi^{\prime}(r) \varphi(r)}{r}+\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r) \varphi(r)-\frac{\varphi(r) \varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{2\left(1-h^{\prime}(t)\right)}{h(t)^{2}}-\left(\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(t)}{h(t)}+\frac{\left(1-h^{\prime}(t)\right) h^{\prime}(t)}{h(t)^{2}}+\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)}{h(t)^{2}}\right)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{2\left(1-h^{\prime}(t)\right)}{h(t)^{2}}-\left(\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(t)}{h(t)}+\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{h(t)^{2}}\right)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, using (3.4),

$$
\|\nabla F\|^{2}=\varphi^{\prime}(r)^{2}=\frac{\left(1-h^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}}{h(t)^{2}}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\|\nabla F\|^{2}+\frac{4}{\alpha F} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right) & =-\frac{\left(1-h^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}}{h(t)^{2}}+\frac{2\left(1-h^{\prime}(t)\right)}{h(t)^{2}}-\left(\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(t)}{h(t)}+\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{h(t)^{2}}\right)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{h(t)^{2}}-\left(\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(t)}{h(t)}+\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{h(t)^{2}}\right)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right) \\
& =K_{\tan }(t)-\left(K_{\tan }(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.6, p. 10, and the the equation (2.8), p.8, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|P| & =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{H^{2}-K+\bar{K}(T \Sigma)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{H^{2}-K-\|\nabla F\|^{2}+\frac{4}{\alpha F} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, X_{\bar{z}}\right)}  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{H^{2}-K+K_{\tan }(t)-\left(K_{\tan }(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right)\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\frac{\partial r}{\partial z}=\frac{d r}{d t} \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}=\varphi(r) \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}
$$

we have

$$
\text { Hess } \begin{align*}
F\left(X_{z}, N\right) & =\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right)\left(X \cdot X_{z}\right)(X \cdot N) \\
& =\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r) \varphi(r)-\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(r) \varphi(r)}{r}\right) \frac{\nu}{\varphi(r)} r_{z} \\
& =-\left(\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(t)}{h(t)}+\frac{1-h^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{h(t)^{2}}\right) \frac{\nu}{\varphi(r)} r_{z}  \tag{3.9}\\
& =-\left(K_{\tan }(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right) \frac{\nu}{\varphi(r)} r_{z} \\
& =-\left(K_{\mathrm{tan}}(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right) \nu \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing (3.9) in (2.10) and then in (2.9), we obtain

$$
P_{\bar{z}}=\frac{\alpha}{2} H_{z}-\frac{\alpha}{2} \operatorname{Hess} F\left(X_{z}, N\right)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left[H_{z}+\left(K_{\tan }(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right) \nu t_{z}\right] .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{\bar{z}}\right| & =\frac{\alpha}{2}\left|H_{z}+\left(K_{\mathrm{tan}}(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right) \nu t_{z}\right| \\
& =\frac{\alpha}{2}\left|d H\left(X_{z}\right)+\left(K_{\mathrm{tan}}(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right) \nu d t\left(X_{z}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\left|d H+\left(K_{\mathrm{tan}}(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right) \nu d t\right|\left\|X_{z}\right\| \\
& =\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\left|d H+\left(K_{\mathrm{tan}}(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right) \nu d t\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

the hypothesis (1.4) and (3.8) imply

$$
\left|P_{\bar{z}}\right| \leq 2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{3 / 2} f|P|
$$

This implies, using Lemma 3.2, p. 12, for $f_{0}=2\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{3 / 2} f$, that $P=0$ everywhere in $\Sigma$. Therefore, by (2.7), $\Sigma$ is umbilic.

If $H$ is constant, then $|P| \equiv 0$ gives

$$
\left|K_{\mathrm{tan}}(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\|\nu\| d t\right| \equiv 0
$$

This and the hypothesis that $K_{\tan }(t) \neq K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)$, except possibly by a discrete set of values $t \in I$, gives $\left|K_{\tan }(t)-K_{\mathrm{rad}}(t)\right|=0$ only for discrete set, which implies, by continuity, that

$$
|\nu||d t| \equiv 0 .
$$

Let $D_{1}=\{z \in \Sigma ; \nu=0\}$ and $D_{2}=\{z \in \Sigma ; d t=0\}$. We have $D_{1} \cup D_{2}=\Sigma$. Observe that, using

$$
r_{z}=\frac{\partial r}{\partial z}=\frac{d r}{d t} \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}=\varphi(r) t_{z}
$$

and analogous expression for $r_{\bar{z}}$, in (3.7), we have

$$
\frac{4}{\alpha} t_{z} t_{\bar{z}}+\nu^{2}=1 .
$$

This gives that $\nu^{2}=1$ in $D_{2}$. Thus, by continuity of $\nu$, we have $D_{1} \cap D_{2}=\emptyset$, and $D_{1}=\emptyset$ or $D_{1}=\Sigma$. But, since $\Sigma$ is compact, there exist $t_{0}, t_{1} \in I$ such that $\Sigma \subset\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$. Taking the least value of $t_{1}$ with this property, we have that $\Sigma$ is tangent to the slice $\left\{t_{1}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$ and, at this point of tangency, we have $\nu^{2}=1$, i.e., $D_{2} \neq 0$. Thus, $D_{1}=\emptyset$ and $D_{2}=\Sigma$, which implies $d t=0$ everywhere, and this gives that $t$ is constant, i.e., $X(\Sigma)$ is a slice.
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